

**Major Project Status Report  
February, 2005**

**Project:** USH 10    STH 110 - USH 45

**Enumeration Year:**    1989

**District:** 03/04

Current Status			TOTAL PROJECT COST ESTIMATE INFORMATION (Original and Current Estimates)						
Cost Category	Cost to Date (Millions)	Estimated Cost to Complete (Millions)	Project Cost Estimate (Millions)				Change Since Last Report		
			Original Estimate		Current Estimate		Cost (Millions)	Percent	
			Estimate in 1989 Dollars	Estimate in 2005 Dollars	Feb. 2005	Aug. 2005			
Design	\$4.4	\$1.2	\$2.7	\$5.2	\$5.6	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>This project was enumerated as part of the USH 10 corridor from Appleton to Marshfield in the Budget - not through the TPC process</li><li>There was no estimate at the time of enumeration</li><li>The original design cost shown was calculated as a percentage of the construction estimate</li><li>Current design estimate is similar to other projects of similar size and complexity</li></ul>
Real Estate	\$9.1	\$0.0	\$3.2	\$6.2	\$9.1	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>This project was enumerated in the Budget, not through the TPC process</li><li>There was no estimate at the time of enumeration</li><li>Original estimates in the base year were derived from the first real estate estimate in 1995</li><li>The increase in real estate cost can be attributed to:<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Scope change from expressway to freeway (requires more land)</li><li>Land values increasing significantly due to development along the corridor</li></ul></li></ul>
Construction	\$80.1	\$2.4	\$38.0	\$73.8	\$82.5	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>This project was enumerated in the Budget, not through the TPC process</li><li>Primary reasons for cost increases:<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Scope change from expressway to freeway (e.g. necessitated the addition of bridges and interchanges)</li><li>Jurisdictional transfer costs were not in original estimate. 16 miles of JT is now included</li><li>Construction cost increased due to compressing the construction schedule for environmental mitigation reasons</li></ul></li></ul>
Totals	\$93.6	\$3.6	\$43.9	\$85.2	\$97.2	N/A	N/A	N/A	